Arden House 18 Langdown Lawn Hythe Hampshire SO45 5GR

T 023 8084 2037 M 078 1263 5953 E john@arden-house.net

6 March 2012 Dr Julian Lewis MP House of Commons London SW1A 0AA

Dear Julian

YELLOW NOTE: NO REPLY EXPECTED — SOAKING RICH FAMILIES

Soaking the rich used to be the Labour cry. Now the Coalition appears to have adopted the idea, but with a twist. Let's get the richer people *with families* to cough up more.

The idea of cutting child benefit for families where the major earner just crosses the higher tax threshold has at least two obvious disadvantages:

- a) poor value for money, because the necessary means testing will require increased bureaucracy, and as pacifying measures are forced in, the inevitable administrative complications will serve only to intensify that effect
- b) the crude sudden jerky effect on family income without marginal relief will be perceived as unfair and lead to electoral disadvantage amongst the people affected: probably three quarters of a million families (see below)

Consider the facts:

Child benefit is £20.30 a week for the first child, £13.40 for the second; so a (normal) family with two children gets £33.70 a week, or £1,752.40 a year. There are about 10,400,000 children of child benefit age (i.e. aged 0-16) in the country, living in (say) 5,200,000 families. Of these families, we are led to believe, about 15% include an earner who pays tax at the higher rate. So the coalition must be expecting to cut payments from 15% x 5,200,000 or 780,000 families. That implies savings in family benefit of 780,000 x £1,752.40, or about £1.367 billion. That is a lot of saving. I can see why you want to do it.

However, a fairer, simpler, easier to implement, flexible alternative is available:

Raise the higher rate of tax by 1.5p to 41.5p. That could be instituted rapidly, would require no additional administrative expense, and could be sold as a temporary measure to be reversed as soon the deficit reduction targets are met. Taxpayers in the higher bracket would still pay more, but the burden would be shared rather than plonked onto young families. The effect in 2013-14 of a 1p rise in higher rate tax calculated by HMRC is shown in the attached table as £910 million, so the benefit to HMG of a rise of 1.5p must be £910 million times 1.5, or £1.365 billion — almost exactly the same as the gross saving before administrative costs that Mr Obsorne is planning.

I urge you to consider this alternative.

Yours sincerely

HMRC table predicting the effect on public income or expenditure of possible changes to taxation and benefit regime

Source: http://www.hmrc.gov.uk/stats/tax_expenditures/table1-6.pdf

Direct effects of illustrative above a 1,2	£	£ millions	;
Direct effects of illustrative changes	effects of illustrative changes 1,2	2013-14	2014-15
Income Tax Rates			
Change starting rate for savings income by 1p ²	0	10	10
Change savings basic rate by 1p	50	50	60
Change basic rate by 1p ³	3850	4500	4550
Change basic rate in Scotland by 1p ³	330	380	380
Change higher rate by 1p ⁴	620	910	900
Change additional rate by 1p ⁴			
Increase (yield)	60 70	110	100 120
Decrease (Cost) Allowances and reliefs	70	120	120
Change personal allowance by £100	490	590	570
Change age-related personal allowances by £100	75	85	90
Change aged income limit by £500	15	20	20
Change all personal allowances by 1 per cent	480	570	560
Change all personal allowances by 10 per cent	4700	5650	5700
Limits Change starting rate limit for acrylage income by \$100.	Non	-	
Change starting rate limit for savings income by £100 Change basic rate limit by 1 per cent	Neg 200	5 310	5 310
Change basic rate limit by 10 per cent:	200	310	310
Increase (cost)	1850	2800	2800
Decrease (yield)	2250	3350	3350
Change higher rate limit by 1 per cent	25	55	50
Change higher rate limit by 10 per cent:			
Increase (cost)	170	360	340
Decrease (yield)	200	430	410
Allowances, starting and basic and higher rate limits Change all main allowances, starting, basic and higher rate limits by 1 per cent	720	930	920
Change all main allowances, starting, basic and higher rate limits by 10 per cent:	720	330	320
Increase (cost)	6700	8700	8750
Decrease (yield)	7850	10200	10300
Working Tax Credit			
Increase basic element by £100 (cost)	350	350	350
Decrease basic element by £100 (yield)	360 260	360 260	380 270
Increase 30-hour element by £100 (cost) Decrease 30-hour element by £100 (yield)	260	260	270
Increase additional elements for couples and lone parents by £100 (cost)	310	340	340
Decrease additional elements for couples and lone parents by £100 (yield)	320	310	340
Child Tax Credit			
Increase family element by £100 ⁵ (cost)	440	440	450
Decrease family element by £100 5 (yield)	460	460	470
Increase child element by £100 (cost)	880	860	930
Decrease child element by £100 (yield)	840	910	930
Common Features	100	400	400
Increase first income threshold by £100 (cost) Decrease first income threshold by £100 (yield)	120 120	120 120	120 120
Change second income threshold by £100 (cost/yield)	0	0	0
	_	_	
Corporation tax			500
Increase small companies' rate by 1 percentage point ⁶	20	440	590
Increase main rate by 1 percentage point ⁶	400	700	800
Increase small and main rate threshold by £10,000	Neg	-10	-10
Capital gains tax			
Increase entrepreneurs' relief rate by 1 percentage point	0	50	50
Increase lower capital gains tax rate by 1 percentage point	0	5	5
Increase higher capital gains tax rate by 1 percentage point	0	70	70
Increase annual exempt amount by £500 for individuals and £250 for trusts	0	20	25
Inheritance tax			
Change rate by 1 percentage point	25	60	70
Increase threshold by £5,000 (cost)	15	40	50

National insurance contributions Rates				
Change Class 1 employee main rate by 1 percent point		3500	3600	3850
Change Class 1 employee additional rate by 1 percent point		620	670	710
Change Class 1 employer rate by 1 percentage point ⁷		4200	4400	4650
Change Class 2 rate by £1 per week		140	150	150
Change Class 4 main rate by 1 percentage point		240	250	260
Change Class 4 additional rate by 1 percentage point		110	110	120
Limits				
Change employee entry threshold by £2 per week		-250	-250	-250 -300
Change employer threshold by £2 per week Change lower profits limit by £104 per year		-290 -15	-290 -15	-300 -15
Change lower profits limit by £104 per year Change upper profits limit by £520 per year		10	10	10
Change upper earnings limit by £10 per week		150	160	190
onlings apper carrings innit by 2 to per week		100	100	100
One per cent change	Indicative level of current duty on a typical item8			
Beer and cider duties 9	Pint of beer: 44p	25	20	20
Wine duties 10	75cl bottle of table wine: £1.81	25	25	25
Spirits duties 11	70cl bottle of spirits: £6.92	10	10	10
Tobacco duties 12	Packet of 20 cigarettes: £4.12	25	15	15
Petrol	Litre of petrol: 57.95p	100	95	95
Diesel	Litre of diesel: 57.95p	150	155	165
Rebated oil	Litre of gas oil: 11.14p	5	5	5
Vehicle Excise Duty 13, 19	e.g. Petrol/diesel cars band G: £165	50	50	50
Air passenger duty 13	e.g. Band A economy flight: £12	20	90	25
Landfill tax	Tonne of waste: £2.50/£56	10	10	10
Climate change levy	100kWh of business electricity: 48.5p	5	5	5
Aggregates levy	Tonne of aggregate: £2.00	5	5	5
VAT				
VAT: change reduced rate by 1 percentage point		350	400	400
VAT: change standard rate by 1 percentage point 14		4950	5100	5350
gg				
Insurance premium tax				
Change standard rate by 1 percentage point 15		340	450	460
Change higher rate by 1 percentage point 16		5	10	10
Champa duty land to:				
Stamp duty land tax		710	830	950
Change 1 per cent rate by 1 percentage point 17		460	570	710
Change 3 per cent rate by 1 percentage point 17		530	590	680
Change 4 per cent rate by 1 percentage point 17		190	230	280
Change 5 per cent rate by 1 percentage point 18		240	260	320
Change rate on leases by 1 percentage point 17		25	35	320 40
Increase £125,000 threshold by £5,000 (cost) 18,18a		25 55	35 85	40 100
Increase £250,000 threshold by £5,000 (cost) 17				
Increase £500,000 threshold by £10,000 (cost) ¹⁷		15	25	30

Table Updated December 2011

¹ Estimates are measured from the relevant standard indexed base, i.e. they show the impacts of the various illustrative changes on top of what is already assumed in the indexed baseline (generally revalorisation plus any announced pre-commitments).

Starting rate available for savings income only from April 2008.

³ Excluding savings income taxed at the starting rate and dividends at the ordinary rate

Excluding dividend income.

⁵ Excluding family element, baby addition.

⁶ Estimates exclude North Sea oil and gas companies, but include the impact of a main rate change on marginal small company receipts.

Estimates include Class 1A and Class 1B national insurance contributions paid by employers.

⁸ These figures are illustrative as at December 2011.

⁹ Beer and cider: revenue figures are based on duty increases on beer below 22% abv, still cider exceeding 1.2% but less than 8.5% abv and sparkling cider exceeding 1.2% up to 5.5% abv. A typical item of beer is assumed to be 4.2% abv.

¹⁰ Wine: revenue figures are based on duty increases for wine and made wine from 1.2% but not exceeding 22% abv. Also including sparkling cider from 5.5% to 8.5% abv. A typical item of wine is assumed to be still wine of 5.5% to 15% abv.

¹¹ Spirits; revenue figures are based on duty increases on products of 22% abv and over. A typical item of spirits is assumed to be 38.7% abv. Also included are spirits based RTDs.

¹² Duty on cigarettes has specific and ad valorem elements. The figures shown are for a one per cent change in specific duties for all tobacco products. For cigarettes, it represents the duty at the weighted average price; previous ready reckoners have used the most popular price category.

¹³ Change applies to all VED and APD rates.

¹⁴ Change applies to the standard rate of VAT at 20%.

¹⁵ Change applies to the standard rate of IPT at 6%.

¹⁶ Change applies to the higher rate of IPT at 20%.

¹⁷ Estimates include both residential and commercial transactions.

¹⁸ Applies to residential transactions only

¹⁸⁸ Adjusted for the impact of disadvantaged areas relief

¹⁹ VED rates are charged at £5 intervals. Unrounded rates are uprated and then rounded to the nearest £5. We have changed the methodology so VED rates in future years are now uprated based on an underlying unrounded rate series. This can give us a "lumpy" costings profile.